Application No.: 10/517,836

Reply dated January 18, 2006

to Office Action of October 18, 2005

Page 26 of 30

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are pending in the present application.

Specification and Claims

Minor changes have been made to the specification and claims to place them in better

form for U.S. practice.

Information Disclosure Statement

An Information Disclosure Statement was filed on December 15, 2004 citing five (5)

Japanese patent publications.

In the Form PTO/SB/08 that was returned to Applicants together with the Office Action,

the Examiner crossed out JP 6-18052 Y2 and did not provide a reason as to why it was not

considered. A partial English translation of relevant portion of this JP prior art document was

provided when the Information Disclosure Statement was filed. JP 6-18052 Y2 is also discussed

in page 2 of the specification of the present application.

In view of this, the Examiner is respectfully requested to consider this JP document, or

contact Maki Hatsumi at 703-205-8000 prior to issuance of the next office action and provide

reasons as to why this document cannot be considered.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 8, 9, and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, because

the wording and language o the body of each claim is inconsistent with the preamble.

Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

Application No.: 10/517,836 Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

Reply dated January 18, 2006

to Office Action of October 18, 2005

Page 27 of 30

The rejected claims have been amended to overcome this rejection.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 2, 4/2, 5/4/2, and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by Kataoka et al. (USP 5,859,365). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Kataoka discloses, in Fig. 1, a fuel tank 1 for a motor vehicle that includes a tank body 3

constituting the outer casing of the tank, and a soft sack 5 for accommodating fuel F, disposed in

the internal space of the tank body 3. The sack 5 partitions the internal space of the tank body 3

into a fuel chamber 7 for accommodating fuel and a pressure adjusting chamber 9

communicating with the atmosphere. Thus, the fuel F accommodated in the fuel chamber 7 is

segregated from the atmosphere (see col. 6, lines 10-19).

Therefore, the tank body 3 of Kataoka (which, according to the Examiner, corresponds to

the "first container" of the claimed invention of the present application) does not define "only a

single space therein for directly storing liquid fuel." Accordingly, Kataoka fails to disclose or

suggest the "first container" as recited in claim 2.

Claims 4 and 5, variously dependent on claim 2, are allowable at least for their

dependency on claim 2.

Claim 9 is allowable at least for the similar reasons as stated in the foregoing with respect

to claim 2.

Application No.: 10/517,836 Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

Reply dated January 18, 2006

to Office Action of October 18, 2005

Page 28 of 30

More specifically, Kataoka fails to disclose or suggest the step of "providing a first

container defining only a single space therein for directly storing liquid fuel," as recited in claim

9.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 3 and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Green (USP 5,556,002). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Green discloses, in a drawing, a measured liquid dispensing system having a bulk

reservoir 12 (corresponds to the "first container" of the claimed invention of the present

application), a pressure tank 14 (corresponds to the "second container"), a conduit system 16

(corresponds to the "second pipe line"), and a pump 18 and a filling valve 20 (which collectively

corresponds to the "feeding means").

In Green, however, the pump 18 is required to feed liquid inside the bulk reservoir 12 to

the pressure tank 14 because the pressure inside the bulk reservoir 12 is not "maintained higher

than" the pressure inside the pressure tank 14, as required in claim 3.

Claim 10 is allowable at least for the similar reasons as stated in the foregoing with

respect to claim 3.

More specifically, Green fails to disclose or suggest the step of "maintaining a pressure

inside the first container higher than a pressure insider the second container," as recited in claim

10.

Application No.: 10/517,836 Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

Reply dated January 18, 2006

to Office Action of October 18, 2005

Page 29 of 30

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 1, 4/1, 5/4/1, 6, and 7 are

allowable over the prior art of record.

Applicants also appreciate the Examiner's indication that claim 8 would be allowable if

rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph:

Claim 8 has been amended to overcome the Section 112, second paragraph rejection, and

thus believe that it is now in condition for allowance.

A favorable determination and allowance of this claim is earnestly solicited.

Conclusion

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the

rejections and objections, and allowance of the pending claims are earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Maki Hatsumi (#40,417) at the

telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

Docket No.: 1602-0191PUS1

Application No.: 10/517,836 Reply dated January 18, 2006 to Office Action of October 18, 2005 Page 30 of 30

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: January 18, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

for Terrell C. Birch

Registration No.: 19,382

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant